

Some remarks on Borel type ideals.

Mircea Cimpoeaş

Abstract

We give new equivalent characterizations for ideals of Borel type. Also, we prove that the regularity of a product of ideals of Borel type is bounded by the sum of the regularities of those ideals.

Keywords: Borel type ideals, Mumford-Castelnuovo regularity.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary: 13P10, Secondary: 13E10.

Introduction.

Let K be an infinite field, and let $S = K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, $n \geq 2$ the polynomial ring over K . Bayer and Stillman [2] note that Borel fixed ideals $I \subset S$ satisfy the following property:

$$(*) \quad (I : x_j^\infty) = (I : (x_1, \dots, x_j)^\infty) \text{ for all } j = 1, \dots, n.$$

Herzog, Popescu and Vladioiu [8] define a monomial ideal I to be of *Borel type* if it satisfies (*). We mention that this concept appears also in [3, Definition 1.3] as the so called *weakly stable ideal*. Herzog, Popescu and Vladioiu proved in [8] that I is of Borel type, if and only if for any monomial $u \in I$ and for any $1 \leq j < i \leq n$ with $x_i | u$, there exists an integer $t > 0$ such that $x_j^t u / x_i^{\nu_i(u)} \in I$, where $\nu_i(u)$ is the exponent of x_i in u . This allows us to prove that the property of an ideal to be of Borel type is preserved for several operations, like sum, intersection, product, colon, see Proposition 1.1.

Let $G(I)$ be the minimal set of monomial generators of I and $\deg(I)$ the highest degree of a monomial of $G(I)$. Given a monomial $u \in S$ we set $m(u) = \max\{i : x_i | u\}$ and $m(I) = \max_{u \in G(I)} m(u)$. If $\beta_{ij}(I)$ are the graded Betti numbers of I then, the regularity of I is given by $\text{reg}(I) = \max\{j - i : \beta_{ij}(I) \neq 0\}$. S.Ahmad and I.Anwar presented in [1] a characterization for ideals of Borel type and proved that if $I \subset S$ is an ideal of Borel type, then $\text{reg}(I) \leq m(I)(\deg(I) - 1) + 1$, see [1, Cor 2.4]. On the other hand, we proved in [4] that $\text{reg}(I) = \min\{e \geq \deg(I) : I_{\geq e} \text{ is stable}\}$, see [4, Cor 8]. We extend this result, showing that I is an ideal of Borel type if and only if there exists some integer $e \geq \deg(I)$ such that $I_{\geq e}$ is stable, see Theorem 1.5.

Using the above results, we prove that the regularity of a product of ideals of Borel type is bounded by the sum of the regularity of each ideal which appear in that product, see Theorem 1.7. As a consequence, if I is an ideal of Borel type then $\text{reg}(I^k) \leq k \cdot \text{reg}(I)$ for any positive integer k , see Corollary 1.8. In the second section of our paper, we give an explicit description for the ideals of Borel type, see Theorem 2.1.

¹This paper was supported by the CEEEX Program of the Romanian Ministry of Education and Research, Contract CEX05-D11-11/2005 and by PN-II-...(to be completed after 15 September)

1 Remarks on Borel type ideals.

Proposition 1.1. (1) If $I, J \subset S$ are two ideals of Borel type then $I + J$, $I \cap J$ and $I \cdot J$ are also ideals of Borel type.

(2) If $I \subset S$ is an ideal of Borel type and $J \subset S$ is an arbitrary monomial ideal, then $(I : J)$ is an ideal of Borel type.

Proof. (1) Since a monomial of $I + J$ is either in I , either in J it follows immediately that $I + J$ is of Borel type. A similar argument holds for $I \cap J$. Now, let $u \in I \cdot J$ be a monomial. It follows that $u = v \cdot w$, where $v \in I$ and $w \in J$ are monomials. Let $1 \leq i \leq n$ such that $x_i | u$ and let $1 \leq j < i$. If $x_i | v$, since I is of Borel type, then there exists some integer $t_1 > 0$ such that $x_j^{t_1} \cdot v / x_i^{\nu_i(v)} \in I$. Otherwise, if x_i does not divide v we put $t_1 = 0$. Analogously, there exists some integer $t_2 \geq 0$ such that $x_j^{t_2} \cdot w / x_i^{\nu_i(w)} \in J$. It follows that $x_j^{t_1+t_2} u / x_i^{\nu_i(u)} \in I \cdot J$, therefore $I \cdot J$ is of Borel type.

(2) Suppose $J = (v_1, \dots, v_m)$, where v_i are monomials. Since $(I : J) = \bigcap_{k=1}^m (I : v_k)$ and the intersection of Borel type ideals is still of Borel type, we can assume $m = 1$. Denote $v_1 := v$. Let $u \in (I : v)$. We have $u \cdot v \in I$. Let $1 \leq i \leq n$ such that $x_i | u$ and let $1 \leq j < i$. Since I is of Borel type, there exists some $t \geq 0$ such that $x_j^t u \cdot v / x_i^{\nu_i(uv)} \in I$. In particular, multiplying by $x_i^{\nu_i(v)}$, it follows that $v \cdot (x_j^t u / x_i^{\nu_i(u)}) \in I$ and thus $x_j^t u / x_i^{\nu_i(u)} \in (I : v)$. In conclusion, $(I : v)$ is of Borel type as required. $\square$

As a consequence, we give a new proof of the following well known fact, see for instance [7, Proposition 5.2].

Corollary 1.2. If $I \subset S$ is an ideal of Borel type, then any associated prime $P \in \text{Ass}(I)$ has the form $I = (x_1, \dots, x_r)$ for some $1 \leq r \leq n$.

Proof. Any prime ideal $P \in \text{Ass}(I)$ can be written as $P = (I : u)$ for some monomial $u \in S$. Since I is of Borel type, by Proposition 1.1 we get P of Borel type. On the other hand, P is a prime monomial ideal, so it is generated by variables. Combining these facts, we are done. $\square$

Lemma 1.3. Let $I \subset S$ be a monomial ideal. The following are equivalent:

- (1) I is of Borel type,
- (2) For any monomial $u \in I$ and for any integer $1 \leq j < m(u)$, there exists an integer $t > 0$ such that $x_j^t u / x_{m(u)}^{\nu_{m(u)}(u)} \in I$.

Proof. The first implication is trivial. For the converse implication, let $u \in I$ be a monomial and let $j < i$ such that $x_i | u$. Suppose $u = x_1^{a_1} \cdots x_i^{a_i} \cdots x_q^{a_q}$, where $q = m(u)$ and $a_1, \dots, a_q$ are some nonnegative integers. By our assumption, there exists an integer $t_q > 0$ such that $x_j^{t_q} u / x_q^{a_q} = x_j^{t_q} x_1^{a_1} \cdots x_{q-1}^{a_{q-1}} \in I$. Recursively, we can find some positive integers $t_{q-1}, \dots, t_i$ such that $x_j^{t_q+t_{q-1}+\dots+t_i} x_1^{a_1} \cdots x_{i-1}^{a_{i-1}} \in I$. We denote $t = t_q + t_{q-1} + \dots + t_i$. It follows that,

$$x_j^t u / x_i^{a_i} := (x_j^{t_q+t_{q-1}+\dots+t_i} x_1^{a_1} \cdots x_{i-1}^{a_{i-1}}) \cdot (x_{i+1}^{a_{i+1}} \cdots x_q^{a_q}) \in I,$$

thus I is of Borel type. $\square$

We recall the following result of Eisenbud-Reeves-Totaro, see [6, Proposition 12].

Proposition 1.4. *Let $I \subset S$ be a monomial ideal and let $e \geq \deg(I)$ be an integer. If $I_{\geq e}$ is stable then $\operatorname{reg}(I) \leq e$.*

In the following, we will give a theorem of characterization for ideals of Borel type.

Theorem 1.5. *Let $I \subset S$ be a monomial ideal. The following are equivalent:*

- (1) *I is an ideal of Borel type.*
- (2) *$I_{\geq \operatorname{reg}(I)}$ is stable.*
- (3) *There exists an integer $e \geq \deg(I)$ such that $I_{\geq e}$ is stable.*

If one of the above conditions holds, $\operatorname{reg}(I) = \min\{e \mid e \geq \deg(I), I_{\geq e} \text{ is stable}\}$.

Proof. (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) follows from [4, Theorem 6]. (2) $\Rightarrow$ (3) is obvious. In order to prove (3) $\Rightarrow$ (1), we choose a monomial $u \in I$. We denote $q = m(u)$ and thus $u = x_1^{a_1} \cdots x_q^{a_q}$ for some nonnegative integers a_i with $a_q > 0$. Let $j < q$ be an integer. According with Lemma 1.3, we must show that there exists some integer $t > 0$ such that $x_j^t \cdot u/x_q^{a_q} \in I$.

We choose an integer $t' > 0$ such that $\deg(x_j^{t'} u) \geq e$ and therefore $x_j^{t'} \cdot u \in I_{\geq e}$. Since $I_{\geq e}$ is stable, it follows that $x_j^{t'+1} \cdot u/x_q \in I$, $x_j^{t'+2} \cdot u/x_q^2 \in I, \dots$ and finally, $x_j^{t'+a_q} u/x_q^{a_q} \in I$. Denoting $t = t' + a_q$ we get the required conclusion.

The last assertion of the theorem follows immediately from Proposition 1.4 and (2). See also [4, Cor 8]. $\square$

Lemma 1.6. *Let $I, J \subset S$ be two monomial ideals and let $e \geq \deg(I)$ and $f \geq \deg(J)$ be two integers such that $I_{\geq e}$ and $J_{\geq f}$ are stable. Then $(I \cdot J)_{\geq e+f}$ is stable.*

Proof. Let $u \in (I \cdot J)_{\geq e+f}$ be a monomial. It follows that $u = v \cdot w$ for some monomials $v \in I$ and $w \in J$. Since $\deg(u) \geq e + f$, we can easily choose the monomials v and w such that $v \in I_{\geq e}$ and $w \in J_{\geq f}$.

Now, let $j < m(u)$ be an integer and suppose $m(u) = m(v)$. Then $x_j u/x_{m(u)} = (x_j v/x_{m(v)}) \cdot w \in I \cdot J$, because $x_j v/x_{m(v)} \in I$ since $I_{\geq e}$ is stable. Analogously, if $m(u) = m(w)$, then $x_j u/x_{m(u)} = v \cdot (x_j w/x_{m(w)}) \in I \cdot J$. Therefore, $(I \cdot J)_{\geq e+f}$ is stable. $\square$

Theorem 1.7. *Let $I, J \subset S$ be two monomial ideals of Borel type. Then*

$$\operatorname{reg}(I \cdot J) \leq \operatorname{reg}(I) + \operatorname{reg}(J).$$

Proof. Since I and J are ideals of Borel type, if we denote $e := \operatorname{reg}(I)$ and $f = \operatorname{reg}(J)$, by Theorem 1.5(2), or [4, Theorem 6], we get that $I_{\geq e}$ and $J_{\geq f}$ are stable. Using the previous lemma, it follows that $(I \cdot J)_{\geq e+f}$ is stable, therefore, using Proposition 1.3 we get $\operatorname{reg}(I \cdot J) \leq e + f$ as required. $\square$

Corollary 1.8. *If $I \subset S$ is an ideal of Borel type, then $\operatorname{reg}(I^k) \leq k \cdot \operatorname{reg}(I)$.*

Note that, there are other large classes of graded ideals which have this property, see for instance [5], but on the other hand, Sturmfels provided an example of a graded ideal $I \subset S$ with $\operatorname{reg}(I^2) > 2 \cdot \operatorname{reg}(I)$ in [9].

2 An explicit description of Borel type ideals.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $I \subset S$ be an ideal of Borel type. Then, there exists an integer $1 \leq q \leq n$, some nonnegative integers $r_0, r_1, \dots, r_{n-q}$, and some monomials $v_{0j} \in K[x_1, \dots, x_q]$ for $j \in \{1, \dots, r_0\}$ and $v_{ij} \in K[x_1, \dots, x_{q+i-1}]$ for any $1 \leq i \leq n - q$ and $j \in \{1, \dots, r_i\}$, such that the set of minimal monomial generators of I , $G(I)$ is:*

$$\{x_1^{a_1}, \dots, x_q^{a_q}, v_{01}, \dots, v_{0r_0}, v_{11}x_{q+1}^{a_{11}}, \dots, v_{1r_1}x_{q+1}^{a_{1r_1}}, \dots, v_{n-q,1}x_n^{a_{n-q,1}}, \dots, v_{n-q,r_{n-q}}x_n^{a_{n-q,r_{n-q}}}\},$$

where a_j for $j = \overline{1, q}$ and a_{ij} for $i = \overline{1, n-q}$, $j = \overline{1, r_i}$ are some positive integers. Moreover, if $r_i > 0$ for some $i \geq 1$ it follows that $r_{i+1} > 0$. Also, for any $2 \leq i \leq n - q$ and $1 \leq j \leq r_i$ there exists some $1 \leq k \leq r_{i-1}$ such that $v_{i-1,k} | v_{ij}$.

Conversely, any ideal monomial ideal $I \subset S$ with $G(I)$ satisfying the conditions above, is an ideal of Borel type.

Proof. Let $q := \max\{j | x_j \in \sqrt{I}\}$. It follows that there exists a positive integer k such that $x_q^k \in I$. We choose $a_q := \min\{k : x_q^k \in I\}$. Therefore, we have $x_q^{a_q} \in G(I)$. Since I is of Borel type, it follows that there exists some positive integers $a_1, \dots, a_{q-1}$ such that $x_j^{a_j} \in I$ for any $1 \leq j \leq q - 1$. As above, we can assume $x_j^{a_j} \in G(I)$ for any $1 \leq j \leq q - 1$. We denote by $v_{01}, \dots, v_{0r_0}$ those monomials from $G(I)$ which are in $K[x_1, \dots, x_q]$, but are not in the set $\{x_1^{a_1}, \dots, x_q^{a_q}\}$, if any.

Let $1 \leq i \leq n - q$ be an integer. Suppose $\{u \in G(I) | m(u) = q + i\} = \{w_{i1}, \dots, w_{ir_i}\}$. It follows that $w_{ij} = v_{ij}x_{q+i}^{a_{ij}}$, where $v_{ij} \in K[x_1, \dots, x_{q+i-1}]$ and $a_{ij} > 0$. Assume $r_i = 0$ for some $0 \leq i \leq n - q - 1$. Suppose $r_{i+1} > 0$ and therefore there exists a monomial $v_{i+1,1} \in K[x_1, \dots, x_{q+i}]$ and a positive integer $a_{i+1,1}$ such that $v_{i+1,1} \cdot x_{q+i+1}^{a_{i+1,1}} \in G(I)$. Since I is of Borel type, it follows that there exists a positive integer $t > 0$ such that $v_{i+1,1}x_{q+i}^t \in I$. We can write $v_{i+1,1}x_{q+i}^t = v \cdot x_{q+i}^{t'}$ such that $m(v) < k$, where v is a monomial. Now, since $v \cdot x_{q+i}^{t'} \in I$ and $r_{q+i} = 0$, it follows that v is a multiple of a minimal generator of I and moreover $v_{i+1,1}$ is multiple of a minimal generator of I . This is a contradiction with the fact that $v_{i+1,1}x_{q+i+1}^{a_{i+1,1}}$ is a minimal generator of I .

Suppose $r_i > 0$ for some $i \geq 2$ and let $1 \leq j \leq r_i$ be an integer. Since $v_{ij}x_{q+i}^{a_{ij}} \in I$ and I is of Borel type, it follows that $v_{ij}x_{q+i-1}^t \in I$ for some $t > 0$. It follows that there exists $u \in G(I)$ with $u | v_{ij}x_{q+i-1}^t$. Obviously, we cannot have $u \in K[x_1, \dots, x_{q+i-2}]$ because, in such case, it follows that $u | v_{ij}$ and we contradict the minimality of $v_{ij}x_{q+i}^{a_{ij}}$ as a generator of I . Therefore, $u = v_{i-1,k}x_{q+i-1}^{a_{i-1,k}}$ for some $k \leq r_{i-1}$ and thus $v_{i-1,k} | v_{ij}$.

For the converse, we consider an ideal I with $G(I)$ fulfilling the conditions of the theorem and we must show that I is of Borel type. Let $u \in I$ be a monomial. Let $s < m(u)$ be an integer. If $s \leq q$ we choose $t := a_s$ and we get that $x_s^a u / x_{m(u)}^{\nu_{m(u)}(u)} \in I$. Now, we assume $s \geq q + 1$ and we denote $j := s - q$. Also, we can assume that u is a minimal generator of I and thus $u = v_{im}x_{q+i}^{a_{im}}$ for some $1 \leq i \leq n - q$ and $1 \leq m \leq r_i$. Note that $m(u) = q + i$ and moreover, $\nu_{q+i}(u) = a_{im}$. By Lemma 1.3, in order to show that I is of Borel type, it is enough to prove that there exists some integer $t > 0$ such that $x_{q+j}^t \cdot v_{im} \in I$. Indeed, by our assumption, it follows that there exists some $k \leq r_j$ such that $v_{jk} | v_{im}$. But, $v_{jk}x_{q+j}^{a_{jk}} \in G(I)$, therefore, if we choose $t \geq a_{jk}$, it follows that $x_{q+j}^t \cdot v_{im} \in I$, as required. $\square$

References

- [1] I. Anwar, S. Ahmad "Regularity of ideals of Borel type is linearly bounded", Preprint, 2006, arXiv:math.AC/0610537.
- [2] D. Bayer, M. Stillman "A criterion for detecting m-regularity", Invent. Math 87 (1987) 1-11.
- [3] G. Caviglia, E. Sbarra, "Characteristic-free bounds for the Castelnuovo Mumford regularity", Compos. Math. 141(2005), no.6, 1365-1373.
- [4] M. Cimpoeaş "A stable property of Borel type ideals", to appear in Communications in Algebra.
- [5] A. Conca, J. Herzog "Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity of products of ideals", Collect. Math. 54 (2003), no. 2, 137-152.
- [6] D.Eisenbud, A.Reeves, B.Totaro "Initial ideals, veronese subrings and rates of algebras", Adv.Math. 109 (1994), 168-187.
- [7] J. Herzog, D. Popescu "Finite filtrations of modules and shellable multicomplexes", Manuscripta Math. 121 (2006), 385-410.
- [8] J. Herzog, D. Popescu, M. Vladioiu "On the Ext-Modules of ideals of Borel type", Contemporary Math. 331 (2003), 171-186.
- [9] B. Sturmfels "Four counterexamples in combinatorial algebraic geometry", J. Algebra 230 (2000), 282-294.

Mircea Cimpoeaş, Institute of Mathematics of the Romanian Academy, Bucharest, Romania
E-mail: mircea.cimpoeas@imar.ro